

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 7	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE August 11, 2010		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE		DA10		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE		DO-09	
NASA Office of Procurement Attn: DA10/James D. Huk II John C. Stennis Space Center Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000				Same as Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and Zip Code) All Potential Offerors Test Operations Contract (TOC) Solicitation No. NNS10336617R John C. Stennis Space Center Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000				9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin: 2px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X NNS10336617R </div> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin: 2px;"> July 22, 2010 </div> 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 30px; margin: 2px;"></div> 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 30px; margin: 2px;"></div>			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, **X** is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

(x)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is X is not required to sign this document

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

- 1. The purpose of this amendment is to provide answers to the timely received question regarding the aforementioned solicitation.**
- 2. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.**

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
		James D. Huk II	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

Questions and Answers to TOC Final RFP #NNS10336617R

Provided below are the responses to questions received from the final version of RFP NNS10336617R.

1. Question: Reference No: Section L.II-3B on page 16 of 52
Section L.II-3 B states, Times New Roman (non-compressed) type, no smaller than 8 point, may be used in tables, charts, and graphics.
Answer: Reference final RFP, font requirements remain unchanged.
2. Question: Reference No: Attachment J-2, Data Requirement Document MA08
Is the MA08 equivalent for FY2010 and FY2011 available? In particular, can a listing of the Backlog of Maintenance Action Requests (BMAR) and Annual Work Plan (FY priority listing of BMARs) be posted in the Technical Reference Library (TRL)?
Answer: The Backlog of Maintenance and Repair (BMAR) will not be posted in the Technical Reference Library (TRL). Reference DR MA08.
3. Question: Reference No: All Sections
The NASA systems available for TOC have been identified in the Technical Reference Library (TRL). There are some data systems developed by the incumbents that are not listed in the TRL or RFP. For example, under the HAT contract, the Rocketdyne Automated Management System (RAMS) was developed. Are there additional incumbent systems and databases, beyond those listed in the RFP and TRL, developed under NASA funding that will be made available to the successor contractor, and if so, what are they? Will these systems and databases be available during TOC transition?
Answer: Reference SSTI-8080-0013, Test Facilities Capability Handbook, Volume 1 which lists all of the types of systems. Required systems and databases will be available during the Phase-In period.
4. Question: Reference No: Attachment J-1, PWS, paragraph 1.2.1 Project Development on page 10 Stennis Test Facility Capabilities Handbook, SSTI-8080-0013, Volume 1 as referenced by the PWS and DR PT08 is not included in the Stennis Space Center Test Operations Contract Technical Reference Library.
Answer: The Test Facilities Capabilities Handbook Volume 1, SSTI-8080-0013, is located in the Technical Reference Library (TRL) under the category of "Other". No update to the current TRL is required.
5. Question: Reference No: Section L.II-4 MISSION SUITABILITY EVALUATION FACTOR (VOLUME I), (2) Management Subfactor, paragraph f., on page 22
Offeror is requested to describe the prime Offeror's capability for interfacing its integrated financial management system with NASA/SSC systems such as Funds Availability System (FAS), NASA Supply Management System (NSMS), Other Accumulated Cost (OAC), Contract Deliverables System (CDS), NPROP (previously NASA Property Disposal

Management System (NPDMS)), Data Warehouse (DW), and NASA financial management systems (SAP). Yet, no description of these NASA/SSC systems is provided such that the offeror may evaluate the feasibility of such interfaces.

Answer: The Final RFP remains unchanged.

6. Question: Reference No: Attachment J-2, DATA REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENT, DR Number MF03, Cost and Electronic Labor Report, on pages 60-61

Offeror is instructed to input information directly to NASA in a flat file format and that the Contractor's system shall interface with OAC. Further instructions are to accumulate costs for inclusion in the SSC Cost Reporting System in an electronic flat file format. Lastly, the DR remarks that the data is to be submitted via the Contract Deliverable System (CDS).

- a) Are three separate submissions of this DR required?
- b) Should the SSC Cost Reporting System be included in the list of NASA/SSC systems for which the contractor's integrated financial management system is required to interface as per Section L.II-4(2)f?

Answer: Reference DR MF03, the Final RFP remains unchanged.

7. Question: Reference No: Attachment J-4, Appendix A and Sect Section L.II-4(2), paragraph d., page 20 Attachment J-4 of the RFP includes a copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreements (CBA) for TOC and FOSC. Appendix A to the CBAs lists the job classifications and wage schedules. It does not include the necessary labor descriptions for each needed for the offeror to map its labor categories in the CBA.

Can you advise what NASA's plans are to address?

Will this information be included in the final RFP? Your response would be greatly appreciated.

Are the incumbents' negotiated job descriptions, which define the scope of job duties, as well as minimum qualifications (i.e. education, certification, training and experience required) available? If yes, please provide these details.

Answer: Labor descriptions/incumbents' negotiated job descriptions will not be provided.

8. Question: Reference No: H.12, Register of Wage Determination and H.13, National Labor Relations Act, Attachment J-4, Collective Bargaining Agreements. In accordance with RFP model contract clauses H.12, Register of Wage Determination Under the Service Contract Act, and H.13, National Labor Relations Act, and the Collective Bargaining Agreements included in Attachment J-4, the successful offeror will be obligated to comply with the applicable existing terms of current FOSC and TOC union agreements. Accordingly, there is a concern that these agreements may be incompatible with certain aspects of cost reimbursement contracting as it pertains to the multi-employer pension plan requirements in the agreements. Under these agreements the successful offeror would be obligated to contribute to the IAM National Pension Fund should the plan become underfunded.

This obligation extends not only beyond the period of performance of the contract but also beyond the final financial closeout requirements stipulated in FAR 52.216-7, Allowable Cost and Payment clause, thus creating a potential financial liability for the contractor that while otherwise an allowable cost under the contract may no longer be recoverable due to the requirement to release the Government of any further financial obligations to the contractor at contract closeout. It is believed that quantifying such a risk may be extremely difficult if not impossible to determine. Is NASA aware of this continuing obligation and does it have any information on the current programs related to this potential liability as it pertains to this solicitation?

Answer: The successful TOC shall be in full compliance with the most current CBAs and all applicable labor laws and regulations throughout the entire Period of Performance.

9. Question: Reference No: PWS 7, Test and Evaluation

ITS and FOSC purchase, and provide corresponding maintenance, for IT tools and resources used by TOC. Are there any IT tools, operating systems, or other resources that TOC must purchase or maintain, including specialized test support items? If so, can SSC provide a current list by type and quantity?

Answer: Office Automation IT resources are supplied by ODIN. Any specialized IT software or hardware will be procured by either FOSC or NASA procurement. SSTI-8080-0013, Test Facilities Capability Handbook, Volume 1, provides information on the systems (IT and other) that the TOC will be responsible for maintaining in the Test Complex.

10. Question: Reference No: L-4 Labor Hours-Rate Template (LH-RT)

The L-4 instructions in the RFP states “The Prime Offeror’s LH-RT template and summary shall include all Subcontractor(s) and Offeror hours segregated by Contractor.” The form is also requesting direct labor rate information by labor category. It is our experience that subcontractors normally do not provide direct labor rate information directly to prime offerors. Is it permissible to show only the direct labor hours and WYE for our subcontractors? If not, can we show the subcontractor’s fully burdened rates through fee or exclude subcontractors from the form with subcontractors submitting completed L-4s in a sealed package?

Answer: Reference L.II-6 B (9), the Final RFP remains unchanged.

In situations in which Subcontractors withhold direct labor rate information from Prime Offerors, the following is required: Prime notes on Prime’s Form L-4 that Subcontractor shall be submitting its portion of Form L-4 in sealed envelope which will identify direct labor information, burdens, and fee, if any.

11. Question: Reference No: Section L.II.3.D, Section L, page 17; L.II.5
Clarify that the following are excluded from the 15-page limitation of Volume II, Past Performance

Performance Matrix
Contract List
OSHA Forms
List of Insurance Carriers and Experience Modifier
Reference Sheets
Self Assessment Questionnaires

Answer: The Performance Matrix is not excluded from the page limitations in Volume II, Past Performance, however, all others listed above do not count towards page limitation. See the following reference locations for clarification:

Contract List See Sections: L.II-3 D, and L.II-5 B,
OSHA Forms: L.II-3 D, and L.II-5 C,
List of Insurance Carriers and Experience Modifier: L.II-5 C,
Reference Sheets: L.II-5 F,
Self Assessment Questionnaires: L.II-5 F.

12. Question: Reference No: Attachment J-1 Performance Work Statement, Section PWS 4.3, page 35. Please clarify which tables in the appendix provide project activity levels as indicated by the statement: “Reference Appendix A-2 for historic and projected project activity levels”. How does the projected number of tests by test facility, correlate to projects? What should the offeror include for FY2014 – FY2016 since no tests are projected?

Projected Number of Tests by Test Facility Stand	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
A-1	0	7	27	43	TBD	TBD	TBD
A-2	0	4	34	33	TBD	TBD	TBD
B-1	30	0	8	7	TBD	TBD	TBD
B-2	0	0	0	0	TBD	TBD	TBD
E-1	5	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
E-2	71	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
E-3	48	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

Provide number of projects for FY2011 – FY2016.

Also, characterize project complexity and historical average labor hours per project.

Answer: The table shown in Item 2 of Appendix A-2 provides the historical data. The table shown in Item 3 provides the projected number of tests. Test projects include one or more tests. There are no tests projected in the cells marked “TBD”.

13. Question: Reference No: L-14 Composite Profit Template (CPT)

The L-14 instructions in the RFP state “This template is designed to identify and accumulate fee amounts for ...proposed Major Subcontractor that meets the Major Subcontractor threshold.” If the Prime Offeror issues a subcontract to a company that is not a teammate or cost reimbursable, but meets the Major Subcontractor threshold, does that subcontractor need to be included on the form since it will not be sharing fee with the Prime Offeror?

Answer: Yes, all Major Subcontractors, teaming partners or joint venture partners that meet the Major Subcontractor threshold shall have their fee amount identified on the Prime Offeror’s Form L-14. If Prime Offeror cannot provide all the fee amounts, an explanation shall be furnished. The Final RFP remains unchanged.

14. Question: Reference No: L-4 Labor Hours-Rate Template (LH-RT)

The L-4 instructions in the RFP states “Reflect changes in a labor classification pay rate by reducing the number of hours to zero for the years affected and adding another line for that labor classification containing the hours at the new rate.”

Answer: This is rarely used and is dependent on whether Offeror anticipates changes in classifications.

15. Question: Reference No: All Cost Templates

Are major subcontractors required to submit all cost templates? The instructions for Form L-14: Composite Profit Template (CPT) state “This template is required from the prime offeror.” Please specify which templates major subcontractors are not required to submit with their cost volume.

Answer: Major Subcontractors shall submit BOEs, only the Prime Offeror shall submit Form L-14.

16. Question: B.7(b), Page 9 of 77

In paragraph (b), the Government sets forth that no equitable adjustment shall be allowed for changes issued that are valued \$100,000 or less. We have seen similar clauses; however, the other clauses contained a ceiling to prevent the potential for abuse. The other clauses contained a requirement that the cumulative value of such changes could not exceed \$500,000 during the period being changed. Recommend Government review and provide confirmation of language.

Answer: The Final RFP remains unchanged.

17. Question: G.1 Clauses Incorporated by Reference, Page 22 of 77

No reference is made to include or exclude Executive Order – Nondisplacement of Qualified Workers Under Service Contracts. Reference the following link for details.

http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/nondisplacement_of_qualified_workers_under_service_contracts/

Do you plan to incorporate guidance regarding incorporation of this EO on this TOC?

Answer: The Final RFP remains unchanged.

18. Question: Attachment J-1, PWS, Section 1.6, page 19 of 64

Acquisition and Property Management – The inclusion of all of the Small Business Subcontracting verbiage in this figure is confusing (especially in light of all procurements being requested through the FOSC). Its inclusion in PWS 1.1.1, page 8 of 64 is understandable and appropriate. Will this verbiage be removed in light of the coverage under PWS Section 1.1.1?

Answer: The Final RFP remains unchanged.

19. Question: L.II-3(B), page 16 of 51

For easier review of multiple 11 x 17 cost forms, will the Government consider allowing Government-provided Cost forms to only count as one page? This will allow 11 x 17 Cost forms to be page numbered directly in the Excel file. Excel does not allow double page numbering (e.g., page 1/2); therefore, if 11 x 17s count as two pages, the electronic version cannot include page numbers.

Answer: Reference L.II-3 Table 1, Cost Volume III has no page limitations.

20. Question: L.II-3(D), Page 17 of 51

L.II-4 (2)(d) specifies that the Staffing Plan does not count toward the page limitation; however, this exclusion is not included in the referenced list of exclusions in paragraph L.II-3(D).

Answer: The Final RFP will remain unchanged.

21. Question: L.II-4(1)(a), Page 17 of 51

It is not clear at what level of the PWS or which PWS elements we are required to demonstrate an understanding of the requirements. Would the Government please define the term “each functional area?”

Answer: Each of the 9 major sections of the PWS constitutes a functional area. The functional areas are: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0.